



Volume 17 Number 3 (June 2025) 390-396 DOI: http://doi.org/10.18502/ijm.v17i3.18821

Evaluation of the in vitro efficacy of antimicrobials against Enterobacterales with multiple carbapenemase enzymes

Reena Rajan^{1*}, Gopinathan Sasikala²

¹Department of Microbiology, Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College and Hospitals, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation (Deemed to be University), Salem, Tamil Nadu, India ²Department of Microbiology, Annapoorana Medical College & Hospitals, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India

Received: January 2025, Accepted: April 2025

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: High-dose of carbapenems and combination therapies with new β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitors and polymyxin B/tigecycline have been considered for treatment of carbapenem resistant Enterobacterales infection. The research was conducted to evaluate the in vitro potency of aminoglycosides, ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam and tigecycline against isolates of Enterobacteriaceae with multiple carbapenemase enzymes.

Materials and Methods: 42 genotypically confirmed carbapenem resistant Enterobacterales (twenty-nine NDM producers, nine NDM and OXA-48 producers, three NDM and VIM producers and one NDM combined with VIM and OXA 48 producer) were included. Minimum inhibitory concentration for carbapenems, aminoglycosides and tigecycline was determined by Vitek 2. Ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam synergy was observed by disk diffusion methodology.

Results: The in vitro efficacy of aminoglycosides was observed against Escherichia coli (E. coli) isolates with NDM and VIM genes. Low tigecycline susceptibility was observed among Klebsiella pneumoniae (K. pneumoniae) isolates with NDM and OXA-48 genes. Ceftazidime -avibactam/aztreonam combination displayed good in vitro activity against dual carbapenemase producers of E. coli isolates (NDM with OXA-48 and NDM with VIM genes) and Klebsiella pneumoniae (combination of NDM, VIM and OXA-48 genes).

Conclusion: Ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam, aminoglycosides and tigecycline displayed in vitro activity against dual carbapenemase producers of E. coli and K. pneumoniae.

Keywords: Enterobacterales; Dual carbapenemase; In vitro activity; Metallobetalactamases

INTRODUCTION

Carbapenems are frequently employed as initial treatments for severe infections involving gram negative bacteria, the wide spread occurrence of carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae has restricted options for clinicians, forcing them to turn to non-beta lactam drugs as alternatives (1). While Metallo-Beta-Lactamases can break down all \beta-lactams except aztreonam, the later cannot be administered as monotherapy because of the co-production of expanded-spectrum-\beta-lactamases (ESBL). Presence of New Delhi metallo-β-lactamases (NDM) pose a notable challenge due to its broad hydrolytic activity

*Corresponding author: Reena Rajan, Ph.D, Department of Microbiology, Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College and Hospitals, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation (Deemed to be University), Salem, Tamil Nadu, India. Tel: +98-94990961 Fax: +4273500800 Email: reenarajan83@gmail.com

Copyright © 2025 The Authors. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹ S This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International license

on penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenem (2).

The term carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteria-ceae* (CRE) refers to isolates that demonstrates resistance to at least one of the carbapenem antibiotics or synthesize a carbapenemase. The number of Enterobacterial strains that produce multiple carbapenemases is steadily increasing (3).

The novel antibiotics currently approved for combating CRE infections comprise of imipenem/ cilastatin-relebactam, meropenem-vaborbactam, ceftazidime/avibactam (CZA), plazomicin and eravacycline (4). The currently leading therapeutic alternative for CRE are tigecycline, colistin, and ceftazidime/ avibactam (5). Ceftazidime/avibactam combination shows good bactericidal ability against KPC and OXA-enzyme producers when combined with aztreonam and enhances the synergistic antibacterial activity against NDM, IMP, KPC, IMP co-producers, and KPC combined with NDM producers (6).

For treatment of infections caused by CRE, highdose and combination approaches including the new β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitors should be considered (7). Against NDM and OXA dual producers, the bactericidal effect of polymyxin B/ tigecycline combination with β -lactams are variable in activity (8). This research was undertaken to find out the in vitro potency of antimicrobial agents against isolates with NDM, OXA-48 and VIM genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was done on 42 carbapenem resistant isolates obtained from various clinical samples collected during October 2022 to January 2024. This study included twenty-nine NDM producers, nine NDM and OXA-48 co- producers, three NDM with VIM producers and one NDM, VIM and OXA-48 co-producers. This study was undertaken upon receiving Institutional Ethics Committee clearance (Ref No: VMKVMC and H/IEC/22/176 Dated 16/11/ 2022).

Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method was employed for antimicrobial susceptibility testing using the following discs (Hi Media Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India); amikacin (30 micrograms), amoxyclav (20/10 micrograms), aztreonam (30 micrograms), cefoperazone/sulbactam (75/30 micrograms), cefotaxime (30 micrograms), cefepime (30 micrograms), ceftazidime (30 micrograms), ceftazidime/avibactam $(30 \ \mu g/20 \ micrograms)$, ciprofloxacin (5 micrograms), co-trimoxazole (25 micrograms), gentamicin (10 micrograms), imipenem (10 micrograms), meropenem (10 micrograms) and piperacillin/tazobactam (100/10 micrograms).

An inhibition zone of ≤ 19 mm for imipenem and meropenem was considered as resistant for *Enterobacteriaceae*. Ceftazidime/avibactam zone diameter of ≥ 21 mm and ≤ 20 mm was considered as susceptible and resistance, respectively. Aztreonam inhibition zone of ≥ 21 mm and ≤ 17 mm was considered as susceptible and resistance for Enterobacterales, respectively (9). Forty-two isolates which exhibited resistance to either imipenem, meropenem or both were characterized phenotypically by Vitek-2 automated system. Turbidometrically controlled suspension of organisms was used to inoculate Vitek 2 ID/AST Gram negative cards (AST-N405) and minimum inhibitory concentration of the antimicrobials were determined.

The confirmation of carbapenem resistant isolates at genotypic level was done by Hi-PCR Carbapenemase Gene (Multiplex) Probe PCR Kit (Himedia Laboratories, Mumbai). Carbapenemase genes (KPC, IMP, NDM, VIM, OXA-51, OXA-23, OXA-48 and OXA-58) were identified by Real Time PCR: Initial denaturation was carried out at 95°C for ten minutes under the following cycling protocol; Denaturation cycle at 95°C for five seconds and subsequent annealing and extension cycle at 60°C for one minute. The threshold cycle (Ct) value of less than or equal to 40 was regarded as positive for carbapenemase producers. Lack of amplification curve in the target genes channel was considered as negative.

Ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam synergy testing. Aztreonam (30 μ g) disk was placed on ceftazidime/ avibactam (30 μ g/20 μ g) in culture suspension swabbed on Muller Hinton agar and incubated at 37°C overnight for 16-18 hours. Zone of inhibition diameter for ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination was analyzed as per CLSI guidelines (9). Statistical analysis was done by using frequencies and percentages.

RESULTS

Carbapenem resistant *E. coli* showed a minimum inhibitory concentration of 4 to \ge 64 µg/ml for imipenem and meropenem. Carbapenem resistant *K. pneu*-

moniae showed a minimum inhibitory concentration of ≤ 2 to 16 µg/ml for imipenem and meropenem. Carbapenem resistant *Enterobacter* cloacae showed a minimum inhibitory concentration of 4 to 16 µg/ml for imipenem and meropenem, whereas *Proteus mirabilis* exhibited minimum inhibitory concentration of 16 µg/ml for carbapenems (Table 1).

Carbapenem resistant Enterobacterales showed resistance to ciprofloxacin (MIC: \geq 4 microgram/ml). Amikacin resistant *E. coli* isolates exhibited a MIC of 32 to \geq 64µg/ml, whereas *K. pneumoniae*, *E. cloacae* and *P. mirabilis* showed a MIC of \geq 32 µg/ml. Gentamicin resistant Enterobacterales displayed a MIC of 8 to \geq 16 µg/ml. Five (27.78%) isolates of *E. coli* with NDM gene and a single *E. coli* isolate with NDM and VIM gene showed susceptibility to amikacin (MIC:2 to 16 µg/ml). A single isolate of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. cloacae* with NDM gene showed susceptibility to amikacin (MIC: 2 to 16 µg/ml).

Five (27.78%) isolates of *E. coli* with NDM gene and a single *E. coli* isolate with NDM and VIM gene showed susceptibility to gentamicin (MIC: 1 to $2\mu g/$ ml). Four isolates each of *K. pneumoniae* with NDM and NDM+OXA-48 and a single isolate of *E. cloacae* with NDM gene showed susceptibility to gentamicin (MIC: 1 to $2 \mu g/ml$) (Table 2).

17 (94.44%) out of 18 *E. coli* isolates with NDM gene showed resistance to ceftazidime/avibactam. *E. coli* with NDM plus OXA-48 gene and NDM plus VIM gene exhibited resistance to ceftazidime/avibactam. 2 (11.11%) out of the 18 *E. coli* isolates with NDM showed intermediate resistance to aztreonam. 16 (88.89%) out of the 18 *E. coli* isolates with NDM showed aztreonam resistance. *E. coli* with NDM and OXA-48 and NDM and VIM genes exhibited resistance to aztreonam. 12 (66.67%) *E. coli* with NDM gene showed synergism against ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination. *E. coli* with NDM plus OXA-48 and NDM genes plus VIM gene exhibited synergism against ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination (Table 3).

A single isolate of *K. pneumoniae* with NDM alone & NDM plus VIM gene showed susceptibility to ceftazidime/avibactam. Two isolates of *K. pneumoniae* with NDM plus OXA-48 showed susceptibility to ceftazidime/avibactam. *K. pneumoniae* isolates with NDM plus OXA -48 exhibited resistance to aztreonam. A single isolate of *K. pneumoniae* with NDM plus VIM gene showed intermediate resistance to aztreonam. Six out of the seven *K. pneumoniae* isolates with NDM gene exhibited synergism against ceftazi-

Table 1. Minimum inhibitory cncentration of carbapenems against Enterobacterales

Organisms		Minimum inhibitory concentration					
		Imip		Meropenem			
No of isolates (n=42)	$\geq 64 \mu g/ml$	$\geq 16\mu g/ml$	4-8 µg/ml	$\leq 2 \mu g/ml$	$\geq 64 \mu g/ml$	$\geq 16\mu g/ml$	4-8 µg/ml
	(R)	(R)	(R)	(I)	(R)	(R)	(R)
NDM E. coli (n=18)	1 (5.56%)	9 (50.00%)	8 (44.44%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14 (77.78%)	4 (22.22%)
E. coli (n=3)	0 (0%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)
(Co-producer of NDM & OXA -48)							
E. coli (n=2)	0 (0%)	1 (50.00%)	1 (50.00%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
(Co-producer of NDM & VIM)							
NDM K. pneumoniae (n=7)	0 (0%)	5 (71.43%)	2 (28.57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (71.43%)	2 (28.57%)
K. pneumoniae (n=5)	0 (0%)	1 (20.00%)	3 (60.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)
(Co-producer of NDM & OXA-48)							
K. pneumoniae (n=1)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
(Co-producer of NDM& VIM)							
K. pneumoniae (n=1)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
(Co-producer of NDM, VIM & OXA-48)							
E. cloacae (n=4) (NDM)	0 (0%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (50.00%)	2 (50.00%)
P. mirabilis (n=1) (NDM & OXA-48)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)

*R-Resistant

*I-Intermediately Resistant

Organisms	Minimum inhibitory concentration					
		Amikacin		(Gentamicin	
No of isolates (n=42)	$\geq 64 \mu g/ml$	$\geq 32 \mu g/ml$	2-16 µg/ml	$\geq \!\! 16 \mu g \! / m l$	8 µg/ml	$1-2 \mu g/ml$
	(R)	(I)	(S)	(R)	(I)	(S)
E. coli (n=18) (NDM)	13 (72.22%)	0 (0%)	5 (27.78%)	13 (72.22%)	0 (0%)	5 (27.78%)
<i>E. coli</i> (n=3) (NDM& OXA -48)	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0%)	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0%)
<i>E. coli</i> (n=2) (NDM & VIM)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)
K. pneumoniae (n=7) (NDM)	0(0%)	6 (85.71%)	1 (14.29%)	3 (42.86%)	0 (0%)	4 (57.14%)
K. pneumoniae (n=5) (NDM & OXA-48)	0(0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0%)	4 (80.00%)
K. pneumoniae (n=1) (NDM& VIM)	0(0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (n=1) (NDM,VIM & OXA-48)	0(0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
E. cloacae (n=4) (NDM)	0(0%)	3 (75.00%)	1 (25.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0 (0%)	1 (25.00%)
P. mirabilis (n=1) (NDM & OXA-48)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Table 2. Minimum inhibitory concentration of aminoglycosides against carbapenem resistant Enterobacterales

Table 3. In vitro activity of ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination against carbapenem resistant E. coli

S.	Sample ID	Zone diameter in millimeter			Interpretation	Genes detected
No		Ceftazidime/Avibactam	Aztreonam	Ceftazidime/	Synergy/No synergy	
				Avibactam/ Aztreonam		
1	Pus	R (9 mm)	I (19 mm)	19 mm	Synergy negative	NDM
2	Pus	R (6 mm)	R (6 mm)	6 mm	Synergy negative	NDM
3	Urine	S (24 mm)	R (6 mm)	19 mm	Synergy negative	NDM
4	Urine	R (6 mm)	R (6 mm)	6 mm	Synergy negative	NDM
5	Urine	R (14 mm)	R (16 mm)	19 mm	Synergy positive	NDM&OXA 48
6	Urine	R (20 mm)	R (6 mm)	20 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
7	Urine	R (14 mm)	R (6 mm)	20 mm	Synergy positive	NDM&OXA 48
8	Pus	R (6 mm)	R (11 mm)	23 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
9	Pus	R (14 mm)	R (6 mm)	17 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
10	Urine	R (6 mm)	R (6 mm)	16 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
11	Urine	R (16 mm)	R (6 mm)	20 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
12	Pus	R (14 mm)	R (6 mm)	17 mm	Synergy positive	NDM &VIM
13	Pus	R (12 mm)	R (10 mm)	19 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
14	Urine	R (16 mm)	R (6 mm)	21 mm	Synergy positive	NDM&OXA 48
15	Urine	R (12 mm)	R (13 mm)	22 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
16	Urine	R (12 mm)	R (16 mm)	12 mm	Synergy negative	NDM
17	Urine	R (11 mm)	R (12 mm)	13 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
18	Urine	R (14 mm)	R (6 mm)	18 mm	Synergy positive	NDM&VIM
19	Urine	R (14 mm)	R (6 mm)	14 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
20	Urine	R (14 mm)	R (6 mm)	17 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
21	Urine	R (15 mm)	R (6 mm)	15 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
22	Urine	R (15 mm)	I (18 mm)	10 mm	Synergy negative	NDM
23	Urine	R (10 mm)	R (10 mm)	15 mm	Synergy positive	NDM

dime/avibactam/aztreonam combination. Three out of the five *K. pneumoniae* isolates with NDM plus OXA-48 gene exhibited synergism and a single isolate of *K. pneumoniae* with NDM plus VIM gene plus OXA-48 exhibited synergism against ceftazidime/avibactam/ aztreonam combination (Table 4).

Isolates of *E. cloacae* with NDM gene showed resistance to ceftazidime/avibactam alone and aztreonam and exhibited ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam synergism. A single isolate of *P. mirabilis* with NDM plus OXA-48 showed ceftazidime/avibactam resistance and intermediate resistance to aztreonam but exhibited ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination synergism (Table 5).

A single isolate of *E. cloacae* and *K. pneumoniae* with NDM, and a single isolate of *E. coli* with NDM plus OXA-48 showed susceptibility to cotrimoxazole (MIC: $\leq 20 \ \mu$ g/ml). Three isolates of *K. pneumoniae* with NDM showed resistance to tigecycline (4 to $\geq 8 \ \mu$ g/ml). A single isolate of *E. coli* with NDM showed tigecycline resistance with MIC of 4 μ g/ml. Five isolates of *K. pneumoniae* with NDM plus OXA-48 showed resistance to tigecycline (2 to $\geq 8 \mu$ g/ml). A single isolate of *E. cloacae* with NDM showed tigecycline resistance to tigecycline (2 to $\geq 8 \mu$ g/ml). A single isolate of *E. cloacae* with NDM showed tigecycline resistance with MIC of 2 μ g/ml.

DISCUSSION

The efficacy of antimicrobial agents varies against carbapenem resistant isolates due to its dual carbapenemase enzymes. Our study revealed that 30.95% of isolates carried multiple enzymes (NDM plus OXA-48 genes, NDM plus VIM genes, NDM, VIM plus OXA-48 genes). No KPC genes were detected among the isolates during the study period. Literature has shown co-production of NDM and OXA-48 genes in 27% of carbapenem resistant clinical isolates (10).

In our study, carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates showed a MIC of $\geq 16 \ \mu\text{g/ml}$ for meropenem and imipenem. These isolates carry either NDM plus VIM plus OXA-48 genes or NDM plus OXA-48 genes. Research has shown that carbapenem resistant

Table 4. In vitro activit	v of cefta	azidime/aviba	ctam/aztreonam	combination	against car	bapenem	resistant K.	pneumoniae
	J							

S.	Sample ID	Zone diameter in millimeter			Interpretation	Genes Detected	
No	-	Ceftazidime/	Aztreonam	Ceftazidime/	Synergy/No		
		Avibactam		Avibactam/ Aztreonam	synergy		
1	Urine	S (25 mm)	I (20 mm)	28 mm	Synergy negative	NDM & VIM	
2	Endotracheal aspirate	S (25 mm)	R (6 mm)	26 mm	Synergy negative	NDM & OXA 48	
3	Pus	R (12 mm)	R (6 mm)	19 mm	Synergy positive	NDM	
4	Endotracheal aspirate	R (6 mm)	R (6 mm)	29 mm	Synergy positive	NDM & OXA 48	
5	Endotracheal aspirate	R (6 mm)	R (6 mm)	29 mm	Synergy positive	NDM & OXA 48	
6	Pus	R (6 mm)	R (17 mm)	29 mm	Synergy positive	NDM	
7	Pus	S (25 mm)	R (6 mm)	28 mm	Synergy negative	NDM	
8	Urine	R (12 mm)	R (6 mm)	22 mm	Synergy positive	NDM	
9	Endotracheal aspirate	R (10 mm)	R (12 mm)	14 mm	Synergy positive	NDM & OXA 48	
10	Pus	R (12 mm)	R (6 mm)	22 mm	Synergy positive	NDM	
11	Urine	S (24 mm)	R (6 mm)	22 mm	Synergy negative	NDM & OXA 48	
12	Urine	R (12 mm)	R (6 mm)	25 mm	Synergy positive	NDM, VIM & OXA 48	
13	Urine	R (12 mm)	R (11 mm)	18 mm	Synergy positive	NDM	
14	Urine	R (12 mm)	R (12 mm)	25 mm	Synergy positive	NDM	

Table 5. In vitro activity of ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination against carbapenem resistant *E. cloacae* and *P. mirabilis*

S.	Sample	Organisms	Zone diameter in millimeter			Interpretation	Genes Detected
No	ID		Ceftazidime/	Aztreonam Ceftazidime/		Synergy/	
			Avibactam		Avibactam/ Aztreonam	No synergy	
1	Pus	Enterobacter cloacae	R (15 mm)	R (16 mm)	22 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
2	Pus	Proteus mirabilis	R (14 mm)	I (19 mm)	25mm	Synergy positive	NDM OXA 48
3	Urine	Enterobacter cloacae	R (14 mm)	R (11 mm)	27 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
4	Urine	Enterobacter cloacae	R (6 mm)	R (9 mm)	14 mm	Synergy positive	NDM
5	Urine	Enterobacter cloacae	R (10 mm)	R (6 mm)	19 mm	Synergy positive	NDM

(meropenem MIC > 16 μ g/ml) *K. pneumoniae* isolates carry NDM plus OXA-48 genes (11).

In the present study, ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination synergy was observed against 100 % of the E. coli isolates with multiple carbapenemase enzymes (NDM plus OXA-48 genes & NDM plus VIM genes), 60 % K. pneumoniae isolates with NDM plus OXA-48 genes and a single isolate of K. pneumoniae (NDM plus OXA-48 plus VIM genes). A single P. mirabilis with NDM with OXA-48 showed ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination synergism. In the present study, MBL-producing strains were predominantly detected among clinical isolates and ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination showed synergism against NDM,VIM & OXA-48 isolates. The present study did not evaluate the in vivo efficacy of ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination. The treatment of MBL producing strains by ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam have shown success in several clinical cases (12). The combination of ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam showed remarkable effectiveness against MBL-producing K. pneumoniae including co-producers of more than one carbapenemase in an in vitro study (13).

In the present study amikacin and gentamicin susceptibility was observed among 50% of the *E. coli* isolates which were co-producers of NDM plus VIM. 100% of the *K. pneumoniae* isolates with multiple carbapenemase enzymes showed intermediate resistance to amikacin (\geq 32 µg/ml) and 80% of the *K. pneumoniae* (NDM and OXA-48) showed gentamicin susceptibility (1 to 2 µg/ml). 76.19% of the isolates in our study showed tigecycline susceptibility (\leq 0.5 to 1 µg/ml).

Studies have demonstrated the in vitro efficacy of ceftazidime/avibactam and imipenem, meropenem, amikacin and tigecycline combination. An in vitro study on the antimicrobial efficacy of ceftazidime/ avibactam when combined with ertapenem, imipenem, meropenem, gentamicin, tigecycline, and ciprofloxacin against carbapenemase producer *K. pneumoniae* showed that ceftazidime/avibactam and imipenem or meropenem combination had synergistic activity (14). In a study on carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae*, the combination of meropenem and ceftazidime/avibactam against NDM-producing strains showed synergy rate of 57.14% and 91.67% against IMP-producing strains (15). The combination of ceftazidime/avibactam and meropenem seems to

have a synergistic effect against multidrug-resistant *K. pneumoniae* (16).

When combined with amikacin and tigecycline, ceftazidime/avibactam demonstrated enhanced antibacterial effects compared to single drug therapy (17). By disrupting membrane permeability and protein synthesis of bacteria, aminoglycosides can enhance the bactericidal effects of ceftazidime/avibactam and amikacin combination leading to resistance prevention. Ceftazidime/avibactam plus gentamicin combination was found to be synergistic against 27% of the isolates in a study, whereas combinations with tigecycline resulted in no synergistic killing against any of the isolates and demonstrated antagonism against 13% of the isolates (18).

The in vitro efficacy of ceftazidime/avibactam/ aztreonam, aminoglycosides and tigecycline against dual carbapenemase producers of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* was observed in our study. Ceftazidime/avibactam/aztreonam combination displayed good in vitro activity against dual carbapenemase producers of *E. coli* isolates (NDM with OXA-48 co-producers and NDM and VIM co-producers) and *K. pneumoniae* (NDM,VIM plus OXA-48). *K. pneumoniae* isolates with NDM plus OXA-48 genes showed low susceptibility to tigecycline. In our research, the in vitro efficacy of aminoglycosides was observed against *E. coli* isolates with NDM and VIM genes.

CONCLUSION

Predicting the in vivo efficacy based on the in vitro susceptibility of amikacin, gentamicin, ceftazidime/avibactam and tigecycline is not always feasible because of factors like pharmacodynamics and host immune response that can influence the clinical outcome of the patient. The genomic analysis of the study detected only VIM, NDM and OXA-48 in Enterobacterales and hence the in vitro susceptibility of antimicrobials was tested only among strains carrying VIM, NDM and OXA-48 genes.

Limitation of the study. Presence of multiple carbapenemase was observed only among 30.95% of the isolates included in the study. Detection of in vitro activity of antimicrobials against carbapenem resistant genes other than VIM, NDM and OXA-48 was beyond the scope of our study.

REFERENCES

- Wu W, Feng Y, Tang G, Qiao F, McNally A, Zong Z. NDM Metallo-β-Lactamases and their bacterial producers in health care settings. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2019; 32(2): e00115-18.
- Tiseo G, Suardi LR, Leonildi A, Giordano C, Barnini S, Falcone M. Meropenem/vaborbactam plus aztreonam for the treatment of New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2023; 78: 2377-2379.
- Bianco G, Boattini M, Comini S, Casale R, Iannaccone M, Cavallo R, et al. Occurrence of multi-carbapenemases producers among carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales and in vitro activity of combinations including cefiderocol, ceftazidime-avibactam, meropenem-vaborbactam, and aztreonam in the COVID-19 era. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2022; 41: 573-580.
- Papp-Wallace KM. The latest advances in β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations for the treatment of Gram-negative bacterial infections. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2019; 20: 2169-2184.
- Lee YR, Baker NT. Meropenem-vaborbactam: a carbapenem and beta-lactamase inhibitor with activity against carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2018; 37: 1411-1419.
- Vena A, Giacobbe DR, Castaldo N, Cattelan A, Mussini C, Luzzati R, et al. Clinical experience with Ceftazidime-Avibactam for the treatment of infections due to multidrug-resistant Gram-Negative bacteria other than carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2020; 9: 71.
- Sheu C-C, Chang Y-T, Lin S-Y, Chen Y-H, Hsueh P-R. Infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*: an update on Therapeutic options. *Front Microbiol* 2019; 10: 80.
- Teo JQ, Fauzi N, Ho JJ, Tan SH, Lee SJ, Lim TP, et al. In vitro bactericidal activities of combination antibiotic therapies against Carbapenem-Resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with different Carbapenemases and Sequence types. *Front Microbiol* 2021; 12: 779988.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2021). Performance Standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 31st ed. CLSI supplement M100. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA. https://www.treata.academy/wp-

content/uploads/2021/03/CLSI-31-2021.pdf

 Borgohain A, Rangaiah A, Rangappa KG. In Vitro Effect of Ceftazidime-Avibactam by synergy testing with Aztreonam using Disc E Strip Method - Contribution of Promising Combination in the Era of antibiotic resistance. *Int J Pharm Clin Res* 2024; 16: 1249-1255.

- Cismaru IM, Vacaroiu MC, Soium E, Holban T, Radu AM, Melinte V, et al. Synergistic Combination of Aztreonam and Ceftazidime-Avibactam-A Promising Defense Strategy against OXA-48 + NDM *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Romania. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2024; 13: 550.
- Shaw E, Rombauts A, Tubau F, Padulles A, Camara J, Lozano T, et al. Clinical outcomes after combination treatment with ceftazidime/avibactam and aztreonam for NDM-1/OXA-48/CTX-M-15-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018; 73: 1104-1106.
- Niu S, Wei J, Zou C, Chavda KD, Lv J, Zhang H, et al. In vitro selection of aztreonam/avibactam resistance in dual-carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob Chemother* 2020; 75: 559-565.
- 14. Gaibani P, Lewis RE, Volpe SL, Giannella M, Campoli C, Landini MP, et al. In vitro interaction of ceftazidime-avibactam in combination with different antimicrobials against KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates. *Int J Infect Dis* 2017; 65: 1-3.
- Wu Y, Yu W, Chu X, Zhang J, Jia P, Liu X, et al . Effect of ceftazidime-avibactam combined with different antimicrobials against carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae. Microbiol Spectr* 2024; 12(6): e0010724.
- 16. Mikhail S, Singh NB, Kebriaei R, Rice SA, Stamper KC, Castanheira M, et al. Evaluation of the synergy of ceftazidime-avibactam in combination with Meropenem, Amikacin, Aztreonam, Colistin, or Fosfomycin against well-characterized multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2019; 63(8): e00779-19.
- 17. Wang F, Zhou Q, Yang X, Bai Y, Cui J. Evaluation of ceftazidime/avibactam alone and in combination with amikacin, colistin and tigecycline against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae* by in vitro time-kill experiment. *PLoS One* 2021; 16(10): e0258426.
- Rogers TM, Kline EG, Griffith MP, Jones CE, Rubio AM, Squires KM, et al. Mutations in ompK36 differentially impact in vitro synergy of meropenem/ vaborbactam and ceftazidime/avibactam in combination with other antibiotics against KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae. JAC Antimicrob Resist* 2023; 5: dlad113.